arXiv:1601.04228v1 [hep-ph] 16 Jan 2016

Extracting the QCD $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ parameter in Drell-Yan Process using Collins-Sopper-Sterman approach

R. Taghavi[*(a)], A. Mirjalili[†(a)]

[(a)] Physics Department, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

January 19, 2016

Abstract

In this work we directly fit the QCD dimensional transmutation parameter, $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$, to experimental data of Drell-Yan (DY) observables. For this purpose we first obtain the evolution of transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions (TMDPDFs) up to the NNLO approximation based on Collins-Sopper-Sterman formalism. As is expecting the TMDPDFs are appearing at larger values of transvers momentum by increasing the energy scales and also the order of approximation. Then we calculate the cross section related to the TMDPDFs in the DY process. As a consequence of fitting to the E288 experimental data at center of mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 23.8\ GeV$, we obtain $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}} = 249 \pm 7 MeV$ corresponding to the renormalized coupling constant $\alpha_s(M_z^2) = 0.119 \pm 0.001$ which is within the acceptable range for this quantity. The results for DY cross section at different energy scales are in good agreement within the available data.

[*]r.taghavi@stu.yazd.ac.ir

[†]a.mirjalili@yazd.ac.ir

1 Introduction

To discuss the details of probing hadronic structure in high energy collisions, the intrinsic transverse momentum carried by partons plays an essential role. Allowing the parton distribution functions (PDFs) and fragmentaion function (FFs) to depend additionaly on intrinsic transverse momentum rather than the usual momentum fraction variables will cause the teransverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization formalism go beyond the framework of standard factorization [1, 2]. To describe the processes which are sensitive to intrinsic parton transverse, TMD factorization will be needed. There are large variety of situations which can be considered as an eveidnent to appear the usefulness of the TMD concept. Some examples are Drell-Yan (DY) process, hadron production in electron-positron annihilation at small transverse momentum and semi inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS). In this article, we are focusing on the DY processes and try to do some phenomenological investigation to extract an improtant parameter in perturbative QCD analysis.

The renormalized strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(\mu)$ is a crucial quantity in high energy collisions . Equivalently the Renormalization Group (RG) invariant scale parameter $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ is the fundamental QCD scale which is depend on the number of active quark flavour, n_f, and can be extracted using fit to the different experimental data confronted with theoretical predictions. Although lots of works has been done to determine this parameter, it is still of interest to be estimated by other methods and using different available experimental data. Since the Drell-Yan process is one of the good context to test QCD theory, we choose the available low energy experimental data related to this process to find the $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ parameter by makeing use of Collins, Sopper and Sterman(CSS) resummation formalism [3] which is one of the theoretical framework designed to account for QCD effects. The fitting is performed at first four mass bins of 5 GeV to 9 GeV of the E288 experimental data . Since the used energy scale, Q, is more than the mass of bottom quark, five active

flavour is considered in our analysis. Totally 28 data points are taken into account to extract $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ in the fit.

The organization of paper is as following. In Sec.2 we consider the evolution of TMD PDF which is employed to calculate the cross section in DY process by making use of the Collins-Sopper-Sterman (CSS) approach. We extend the current results in [4] and obtain the TMD PDF up to the NNLO approximation. In Sec.3 we review the theoretical assumption to calculate the cross section of DY processes in which the Brock-Landry-Nadolsky-Yuan (BLNY) parametrization is used for the non-perturbative part of the calculation. Using fitting process the unknown parameter $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ is obtained in Sec.4. Finally we give our conclusion in Sec.5.

2 TMD evolution

The evolution of quark-TMD PDF in the CSS formalism is given by [4, 5]:

$$\tilde{F}_{f/P}(x,b_T;\mu,\zeta_F) = \overbrace{\sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{d\hat{x}}{\hat{x}} \tilde{C}_{f/j}(x/\hat{x},b_*;{\mu_b}^2,\mu_b,g(\mu_b)) f_{j/P}(\hat{x},\mu_b)}^{(a)}$$
$$\overbrace{\times \exp\{\ln\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b}\tilde{K}(b_*;\mu_b) + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu}\frac{d\mu^{'}}{\mu^{'}}[\gamma_F(g(\mu^{'});1) - \ln\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu^{'}}\gamma_K(g(\mu^{'}))]\}}^{(b)}$$
$$\overbrace{\times \exp\{g_{j/P}(x,b_T) + g_K(b_T)\ln\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}}\}}^{(c)}. \qquad (1)$$

In this equation, the $f_{j/P}(\hat{x},\mu_b)$ is the ordinary PDF and $\tilde{C}_{f/j}(x/\hat{x},b_*;{\mu_b}^2,\mu_b,g(\mu_b))$, $\tilde{K}(b_*;\mu_b)$, $\gamma_K(g(\mu^{'}))$ and $\gamma_F(g(\mu^{'});1)$ are functions which for all b_T are perturbatively calculable. The non-perturbative b_T behavior of $\tilde{F}_{f/P}(x,b_T;\mu,\zeta_F)$ and $K(b;\mu_b)$ are governed respectively by the functions $g_{j/P}(x,b_T)$ and $g_K(b_T)$. These functions are scale-independent and universal. The anomalous dimensions of the $\tilde{F}_{f/P}(x,b_T;\mu,\zeta_F)$ and $\tilde{K}(b_*;\mu_b)$ are represented by the γ_F and γ_K respectively. In the small $b_T \ll \frac{1}{\Lambda_{QCD}}$ limit

the first phrase, (a), in Eq.(1) matches the TMD PDF to a collinear treatment . The hard part of this phrase is given by Wilson coefficient function, $\tilde{C}_{f/j}$, where it also contains the standard integrated PDF which is denoting a collinear factor. The second phrase, (b), of Eq.(1) includes exponential functions which are different and all can perturbatively be calculated . The matching between the small and large b_T-dependence is implementing by the third phrase, (c), of this equation. The non-perturbative feature, intrinsic to the proton, for large-b_T values is given by $g_{j/P}(x, b_T)$ function. On the other hand the non-perturbative behavior of $\tilde{K}(b_*; \mu_b)$ at large values of b_T is presented by $g_K(b_T)$. However the function $g_{j/P}(x, b_T)$ depends on the external hadron but it can be considered universal. For $g_K(b_T)$ function there is different situation in which it is universal and also do not depend on the external types of the hadrons.

To do the calculations, as it is customary, the choose $\sqrt{\zeta_F} = Q$ and $\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}} = Q_0$ are applied. In this work, we first obtain the TMD PDF of up quark at the NNLO approximation with x = 0.09 for the small $Q = \sqrt{2.4}$, medium $Q = 5$ and $Q = 91.9$ GeV values. To do this we use the package of $PDFCollinear[parton, x, b_T]$ [6] which gives us the collinear part of the TMD PDF for a parton of a specified quark. Since up-quark is concerned in our calculations, the $PDFCollinear[up, x, b_T]$ is used for first phrase, (a), of Eq.(1). Considering the second phrase, (b), we are able to increase the accuracy of calculation, taking into account the NNLO contributions of $\tilde{K}(b_*; \mu_b)$,γ_F and γ_K functions.

The one-loop values for $\tilde{K}(b_*; \mu_b)$,γ_F and γ_K functions are as following [5]:

$$\tilde{K}(\mu, b_T) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F L_\perp}{\pi}, \tag{2}$$

$$\gamma_F(\mu) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi}(\frac{3}{2} - \ln(\frac{\zeta_F}{\mu^2})), \tag{3}$$

$$\gamma_K(\mu) = 2\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} , \tag{4}$$

where:

$$L_{\perp}(\mu, b_T) = Log(\frac{\mu^2 {b_T}^2}{4e^{-2\gamma_E}}). \tag{5}$$

Considering Eqs.(2-5) the TMD PDF for up quark at three different scales can be calculated in the NLO approximation. To reach our main aim to obtain the NNLO approximation in evolving the TMD PDF, we need to add the NNLO contributions to the Eqs.(2-4) which are available in [5] as:

$$\tilde{K}(\mu, b_T) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F L_{\perp}}{\pi} + (\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi})^2(\frac{1}{32}\Gamma_0\beta_0 L_{\perp}(\mu, b_T)^2 - \frac{1}{8}(\frac{\Gamma_1}{2}L_{\perp}(\mu, b_T)) - \frac{1}{8}d_{20}), \tag{6}$$

$$\gamma_F(\mu; \zeta_F/\mu^2) = \alpha_s\frac{C_F}{\pi}(\frac{3}{2} - \ln(\frac{\zeta_F}{\mu^2})) + (\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi})^2(-4C_F((\frac{67}{9} - \frac{\pi^2}{3})C_A - \frac{20}{9}T_F N_f)(Log[\frac{\zeta}{\mu^2}])$$
$$-{C_F}^2(-3 + 4\pi^2 - 48\varsigma_3) - C_F C_A(-\frac{961}{27} - \frac{11\pi^2}{3} + 52\varsigma_3) - C_F T_F N_f(\frac{260}{27} + \frac{4\pi^2}{3})), \tag{7}$$

$$\gamma_K(\mu) = 2\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} + 2(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi})^2(C_F C_A(\frac{67}{36} - \frac{\pi^2}{12}) - \frac{5}{18}C_F N_f)\ , \tag{8}$$

where:

$$\Gamma_0 = 4C_F, \tag{9}$$

$$\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{4}{3}T_F N_f, \tag{10}$$

$$\Gamma_1 = 4C_F((\frac{67}{9} - \frac{\pi^2}{3})C_A - \frac{20}{9}T_F N_f), \tag{11}$$

$$d_{20} = C_F C_A(\frac{404}{27} - 14\varsigma_3) - (\frac{112}{27})C_F T_F N_f. \tag{12}$$

By inserting Eqs.(6-8) in Eq.(1) and doing the required numerical calculations the TMD PDF of up quark can be obtained for the small, medium and large values of $Q = \sqrt{2.4}$,



Figure 1: The TMDPDF for up quark at $Q = \sqrt{2.4}$, 5.0 and 91.19 GeV with x = 0.09. The plot shows the result at the NLO (dashed and dash-dotted curves) and NNLO (solid curves) approximations. Solid curves are resulting from our results with $b_{\max} = 0.5\ GeV^{-1}$.

5, and 91.19 GeV with x = 0.09 in $Q_0 = 1.6$. We depict the related plots run over a range typical for studies of TMD-functions from $P_T = 0$ to 6 GeV in Fig.1.

As is expected by increasing the energy scale the effect of TMD PDF at larger values of P_T is growing. By raising the accuracy of calculations and increasing the energy scales, the amount of of TMDPDF at small P_T value is deceasing. In this case the effect of this function at large values of P_T is slightly extending.

3 Hadronic production and DY processes in CSS formalism

The differential cross section for the Drell-Yan process in the CSS resummation formalism can be expressed as [7, 8]:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 d{Q_T}^2 dy} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\delta(Q^2 - {M_V}^2)\int d^2b e^{i\vec{Q}_T.\vec{b}_T}\tilde{W}_{j\bar{k}}(b_T, Q, x_1, x_2) + Y(Q, Q_T, x_1, x_2). \quad (13)$$

In this equation Q, Q_T and y are the invariant mass, transverse momentum and rapidity of vector boson V respectively in which x_1, x_2 are presented by $x_1 = e^y Q/s$, $x_2 = e^{-y} Q/s$ where s is the overall center-of-mass energy of colliding hadrons. In Eq.(13) the two dimensional fourier transform can be converted to one dimensional integral, using the first type of Bessel function in which Eq.(13) can be appeared as [5]:

$$\int d^2 b_T e^{iP_T.\vec{b}_T} \tilde{W}_{j\bar{k}}(b_T, Q, x_1, x_2) = 2\pi \int b_T db_T J_0(b_T P_T) \tilde{W}_{j\bar{k}}(b_T, Q, x_1, x_2). \tag{14}$$

The $\tilde{W}_{j\bar{k}}$ term is defined by [9]:

$$\widetilde{W}_{j\overline{k}}(b_T, Q, x_1, x_2) = e^{-S(Q, b_T, C_1, C_2)} \sum_{j,\overline{k}} \frac{\sigma_0}{s} \overline{P}_{j,h_1}(x_1, b_T, \frac{C_1}{C_2 b_T}) \overline{P}_{\overline{k},h_2}(x_2, b_T, \frac{C_1}{C_2 b_T}) + (j \leftrightarrow \overline{k}) \ . \tag{15}$$

In Eq.(15) the indices h_1 ,h_2 denotes to the parton number 1 and 2. The $\sum_j \overline{P}_{jh_1}(x_1, b, \frac{C_1}{C_2 b})$ corresponds to the part (a) of Eq.(1) where we calculate it, using the package of $PDFCollinear[up, x_1, b_T]$ as we described in Sec.2. The C_1 and C_2 are renormalization constants. To remove some of the logarithmic divergences in $\widetilde{W}_{j\overline{k}}(b_T, Q, x_1, x_2)$, the best choice for these constants are $C_1 = 2e^{\gamma_E} \equiv b_0$ and $C_2 = \frac{C_1}{b_0} = 1$ [10] . The Sudakov factor S in Eq.(15) which is equivalent to the square of the (b) factor in Eq.(1) is given by [9]:

$$S(Q, b_T, C_1, C_2) = \int_{{C_1}^2/b_T^2}^{{C_2}^2 Q^2} \frac{d\overline{\mu}^2}{\overline{\mu}} [\mathrm{A}(\alpha_s(\overline{\mu}), C_1) \ln(\frac{{C_2}^2 Q^2}{\overline{\mu}^2}) + B(\alpha_s(\overline{\mu}), C_1, C_2)] \ . \tag{16}$$

The A and B functions in Eq.(16) can be found up to NNLO approximation in [7] as:

$$A(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu}), C_1) = \sum_{N=1}^{2} A^{(N)}(C_1) [\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})}{\pi}]^N, \tag{17}$$

$$B(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu}), C_1, C_2) = \sum_{N=1}^{2} B^{(N)}(C_1, C_2) [\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})}{\pi}]^N \ , \tag{18}$$

where

$$A^{(1)}(C_1) = \frac{4}{3}, \tag{19}$$

$$A^{(2)}(C_1) = \frac{69}{9} - \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 - \frac{10}{27} N_f + \frac{8}{3}\beta_1 \ln(C_1 \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma}), \tag{20}$$

$$B^{(1)}(C_1,C_2)=\frac{8}{3}\ln(\frac{C_1}{2C_2}e^{\gamma-3/4}), \tag{21}$$

$$B^{(2)}(C_1,C_2)=2[\frac{67}{9}-\frac{1}{3}\pi^2-\frac{10}{27}N_f]\ln(\frac{C_1}{2C_2}e^{\gamma-3/4})+\frac{8}{3}\beta_1\{\ln^2(C_1\frac{1}{2}e^{\gamma})- \ln^2(C_2e^{3/4})\}-\frac{9}{8}+\frac{7}{9}\pi^2+\frac{2}{3}\varsigma(3)+(\frac{5}{36}-\frac{2}{27}\pi^2)N_f. \tag{22}$$

To achieve more precise results for DY cross section, one can use the NNLO contributions for TMD PDF as was obtained in Sec.2.

The form factor $\widetilde{W}_{j\bar{k}}(b_T,Q,x_1,x_2)$ in Eq.(15) can be expressed in terms of its perturbative part $\widetilde{W}^{pert}_{j\bar{k}}$ and nonperturbative function $\widetilde{W}^{NP}_{j\bar{k}}$ as [10]:

$$\widetilde{W}_{j\bar{k}}(b_T)=\widetilde{W}^{pert}_{j\bar{k}}(b_*)\widetilde{W}^{NP}_{j\bar{k}}(b_T). \tag{23}$$

with:

$$b_*=\frac{b_T}{\sqrt{1+{b_T}^2/{b_{\max}}^2}}. \tag{24}$$

In our calculations, the cross section of DY process is considered and compared with the available experimental data. Indeed we take the $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ as an unknown parameter that souled be determined by fitting process. We analyze the DY process with BLNY fitting parametrization in the non-perturbative part of the cross section using [8]:

$$\exp\{[-g_1-g_2\ln(\frac{Q}{2Q_0})-g_1g_3\ln(100x_1x_2)]b^2\}, \tag{25}$$

where g_1,g_2,g_3 are the unknown parameters that should be determined by fitting to the expression in Eq.(25) which is corresponding to the square of (c) factor in Eq.(1). Using the E288 experimental data (for Q = 5 to 9) [12] we can obtain the values of these parameters as well as $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$, using CERN subroutine MINUIT [13]. We calculate the theoretical cross section using b-star method [11], choosing $b_{\max}=0.5\ GeV^{-1}=0.1\ fm$ in all part of fitting.

To get better consistency between the theoretical results and the related experimental data, the data at each mass bin including $5<\mathrm{Q}<6$, $6<\mathrm{Q}<7$, $7<\mathrm{Q}<8$ and 8

$< Q < 9$, is multiplied by individual normalization factors. Then we have totally four normalization factors in our calculations.

4 Results and discussions

As mentioned before our purpose is to determine the $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ in DY process together with the unknown parameters g_1, g_2 and g_3 at low energy scales, considering transverse momentum distributions by CSS resummation formalism. Therefor we should include the experimental data for which the transverse momentum distributions have more effect in non-perturbatve part of our calculations. Hence we use the low energy DY data in the region where the transverse momentum of the lepton pair is much smaller than its invariant mass Q. The reason is that the CSS formalism describes the production of DY pairs better in the central rapidity region [10]. The E288 data from the $p + Cu \to \mu^+\mu^- + X$ process at $\sqrt{s} = 23.8$ GeV with $x = 0.03$ is a good case with those properties. By accessing to the TMDPDFs we are able to calculate the related cross section in DY process. Using the E288 experimental data, we do the fitting and obtain the values of the non-perturbative parameters g_1, g_2 and g_3, using the BLNY parametrization [8] and finally $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ which is placed in the perturbative part of calculations. The fitting is done by MINUIT programming and the results are:

$$g_1 = 0.43 \pm 0.09 \ , \ g_2 = 0.67 \pm 0.04 \ , \ g_3 = -0.63 \pm 0.07, \tag{26}$$

$$\Lambda_{\overline{MS}} = 249 MeV \pm 7. \tag{27}$$

which is corresponding to $\alpha_s(M_z^2) = 0.119 \pm 0.001$ for energy scale of Z-mass boson at the NLO approximation. The goodness fitting with $\chi^2/d.o.f. = 1.12$ and finding for $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ a value within the acceptable range indicates that the BLNY parametrization is suitable to describe low energy events.

We use the obtained numerical results for the unknown parameters and plot the related DY cross section. We then compare the result with the available experimental data and

depict them in Fig.2 for $p + Cu \to \mu^+\mu^- + X$ reaction at $\sqrt{s} = 23.8\ GeV$ with $x = 0.03$. As can be seen a good consistency is existing between them.



Figure 2: The theoretical calculation of DY cross section which is compared to E288 experimental data [12] for the $p + Cu \to \mu^+\mu^- + X$ reaction at $\sqrt{s}$=23.8 GeV.

5 Conclusion

We considered the evolution of transverse momentum dependent parton distribution function for up quark in CSS formalism up to NNLO approximation which can be easily extended to obtain the TMD PDF for other quarks by similar calculations. In particular, we have used the TMD evolution kernel at NNLO approximation which to our knowledge, has not been done before. We also indicated that one can directly relate QCD observables to the underlying dimensional transmutation parameter of the theory, $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$. We extracted

the $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ within the appropriate range of perturbative QCD and showed that the TMD formalism is a powerful tool to analyze perturbative and non-perturbative effects in cross section spectra of DY process. The result of global fit showed that the BLNY parametrization is a good one for the non-perturbative part of cross section and the CSS formalism can formulate and describe properly the E288 experimental data (for low energy Drell-Yan process).

The calculations for DY cross section can be extended to the NNLO approximation which we hope to report them in our further research activity. Employing the experimental data for DY cross section at high energy scales is also a valuable task to increase the precision of our fitting which can be done in future.

Acknowledgements

R.T and A.M acknowledge Andria Signore which provided us the package of PDF collinear and thanks S.Atashbar Tehrani for useful discussions.

References

[1] P. J. Mulders and R. D. Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B 461 (1996) 197 [Erratum-ibid. B 484 (1997) 538].

[2] A. Bacchetta, M. Diehl, K. Goeke, A. Metz, P. J. Mulders and M. Schlegel, JHEP 0702 (2007) 093.

[3] J. C. Collns, D. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 199.

[4] Mert Aybat, and Ted C. Rogers, Phys.Rev. D 83 (2011) 114042.

[5] J. C. Collins, Foundations of Perturbative QCD ,Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2011).

[6] https://tmd.hepforge.org/code/unpolarized/tmd.m.

[7] J. C. Collins, Nucl. Phys B 250 (1985) 199.

[8] F. Landry, R. Brock, P.M. Nadolsky, C.-P. Yuan, Phys.Rev. D 67 (2003) 073016.

[9] T. Gehrmann, T. Lubbertb, L. L. Yang, JHEP 1406 (2014) 155.

[10] F. Kandry, R. Brock, P. M. Nadolsky, C. P. Yuan, Phys.Rev. D 67 (2003) 073016.

[11] M. G. Echevaria, A. Idilbi, A. Schafer and I. Scimemi, Eur.Phys.J. C 73 (2013) 12.

[12] A.S. Ito, et al., Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 604.

[13] F. James, CERN Program Library Long Writeup D506.